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A structural investigation on some 4-amido-2-phenyl-1,2-dihydro-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one derivatives, designed as human $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ adenosine receptor ( $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ ) antagonists, is described. In the new derivatives, some acyl residues with different steric bulk were introduced on the 4 -amino group, and their combination with the 4 -methoxy group on the 2-phenyl moiety, and/or the 6-nitro/6-amino substituent on the fused benzo ring, was also evaluated. Most of the new derivatives were potent and selective $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ AR antagonists. SAR analysis showed that hindering and lipophilic acyl moieties not only are well tolerated but even ameliorate the $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ affinity. Interestingly, the 4-methoxy substituent on the appended 2-phenyl moiety, as well as the 6 -amino group, always exerted a positive effect, shifting the affinity toward the $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ receptor subtype. In contrast, the 6-nitro substituent exerted a variable effect. An intensive molecular modeling investigation was performed to rationalize the experimental SAR findings.

## Introduction

Adenosine is a ubiquitous neuromodulator that elicits a wide variety of physiological effects by activating four different receptors, classified as $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ subtypes, belonging to the G-protein-coupled receptor superfamily. ${ }^{1,2}$ All four adenosine receptors (ARs) have been cloned from different species ${ }^{1}$ and pharmacologically characterized, the most recently being the $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ subtype. ${ }^{3}$ AR subtypes are differently coupled with adenylyl cyclase: $A_{1}$ and $A_{3} A R$ activation inhibits adenylyl cyclase, thus decreasing cAMP production, while $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR stimulation activates adenylyl cyclase and increases cAMP levels. ${ }^{1}$ Activation of the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ is also associated with phospholipase $\mathrm{C}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{D}^{5}$ stimulation. The $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ is widely distributed in mammals, but pronounced differences in expression levels between species exist. ${ }^{6}$ In humans, the highest density of this receptor subtype has been found in lung and liver, with lower levels in aorta, brain, and testes. ${ }^{6}$ The $A_{3}$ AR is involved in many important physiological effects of adenosine: modulation of cerebral and cardiac ischemic damage, ${ }^{6,7}$ inflammation, ${ }^{8,9}$ regulation of normal and tumor cell growth. ${ }^{10,11}$ Accordingly, $A_{3} A R$ selective antagonists are being investigated as neuroprotective agents ${ }^{12,13}$ and as potential drugs for the treatment of asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. ${ }^{8,9}$

Over the past decade, we have focused a part of our research on the study of AR antagonists belonging to strictly correlated classes of tricyclic compounds. ${ }^{14-23}$ One of these classes is represented by the 4 -amino-2-aryl-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxa-lin-1-one derivatives, which were intensively investigated by evaluating the effect of different substituents on the 4 -amino

[^0]group, the 2-phenyl ring, and the fused benzo ring (Chart 1). ${ }^{15,17-21}$ These studies led to the identification of some groups that, introduced one by one in a suitable position of the 1,2,4-triazolo[4,5-a]quinoxalin-1-one scaffold, afforded high $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity and good selectivity. These groups are acyl residues, such as the acetyl or benzoyl groups, on the 4 -amino group (compounds $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ ), ${ }^{17}$ the para methoxy substituent on the 2-phenyl ring (compound $\mathbf{C}$ ), ${ }^{17}$ and the 6 -nitro group (compound D). ${ }^{18}$ In particular, the para methoxy and the 6-nitro group significantly enhanced the $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ selectivity of the ligands. Combination of these latter substituents (compound $\mathbf{E}$ ) was also achieved, and it afforded nanomolar $A_{3} A R$ affinity and increased $A_{3}$ selectivity, with respect to that obtained by single substitution. ${ }^{21}$ Molecular modeling studies were also carried out on these derivatives, and in a recent paper, we depicted the putative transmembrane binding motif of this class of antagonists on a model of the $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ AR. ${ }^{21}$ Ligand-receptor modeling studies pointed out that (i) several hydrogen-bonding interactions seem to occur in the anchoring of these derivatives at the receptor site and some interactions involve the 1-oxo, 6-nitro, and 4 -amino groups and (ii) both the 2-aryl and the fused benzo rings interact with two size-limited binding pockets and, as a consequence, the volume of the whole molecule is critical in fitting with the receptor. Because of the interesting binding profile, we decided to continue the structural investigation of this class of derivatives with the objective of optimizing the substitution of the 2-phenyl-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1one framework and, as a consequence, of gaining more insight about the structural requirements of the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ binding cavity. Thus, we planned the synthesis of the novel triazoloquinoxalines $\mathbf{1 - 2 3}$, which are depicted in Chart 2. The new compounds bear, on the 4 -amino group, some acyl substituents with different steric bulk (acetyl (1-5), benzoyl (6-11), diphenylacetyl (12-
 at key positions $\mathrm{R}_{1}(\mathrm{OMe})$ and/or $\mathrm{R}_{6}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$. The decision to

Chart 1. Previously Reported 4-Amino-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one Derivatives


Chart 2. Hereby Reported 4-Amido-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one Derivatives

examine the hindering diphenylacetyl moiety (compounds 1218) as well as the two benzoyl residues (compounds 19-23) was made because these substitutions had not yet been investigated in this class of AR antagonists. In some derivatives, the 6 -nitro group was replaced by the 6 -amino group, since previous data indicated that the presence of this latter also afforded nanomolar $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ AR affinity. ${ }^{18,21}$ Furthermore, the effect of a nitro group at the $\mathrm{R}_{1}$-position was evaluated. All these structural modifications, which increase the volume of the molecule, have provided information about the steric requirements of the receptor site and in particular about the space availability of the pocket where the $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ substituent is accommodated. Molecular docking of these derivatives has been used to further refine our $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ receptor model.

## Chemistry

The target derivatives $\mathbf{1 - 2 3}$ were prepared as depicted in Schemes 1 and 2. The starting 4-amino-2-aryl-1,2,4-triazolo-[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one derivatives $\mathbf{C},{ }^{17} \mathbf{D},{ }^{18} \mathbf{E},{ }^{21} \mathbf{2 4},{ }^{21}$ and $\mathbf{2 5}{ }^{17}$ were prepared as previously reported.

The 4-acetamidotriazoloquinoxalin-1-one derivatives 1-3 were obtained under different experimental conditions. The 4-acetamido-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxa-lin-1-one 1 was prepared by stirring at room temperature a mixture of the 4 -amino compound $\mathbf{C}$ and acetyl chloride in methylene chloride in the presence of pyridine. The synthesis of the 4-acetamido-6-nitro-2-phenyltriazoloquinoxalin-1-one 2 was achieved by reaction of the corresponding 4 -amino compound $\mathbf{D}$ with acetic anhydride in dimethylformamide at room temperature and in the presence of 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine and triethylamine. The 4-acetamido-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-6-nitro-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one $\mathbf{3}$ was obtained from the corresponding 4-amino derivative $\mathbf{E}$ and acetyl chloride in refluxing anhydrous pyridine. Catalytic reduction ( $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ) of the 6-nitro derivatives $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ in a Parr apparatus gave the corresponding 6 -amino compounds 4 and 5 . The 4 -benzami-dotriazoloquinoxalin-1-one derivatives $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{8}$, and $\mathbf{9}$ were synthesized by reaction of the corresponding 4 -amino compounds $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$, and $\mathbf{E}$ with benzoyl chloride under the conditions described above to prepare derivative 1. The synthesis of

## Scheme $\mathbf{1}^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ (a) RCOCl , anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and pyridine; (b) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, anhydrous DMF; (c) RCOCl , anhydrous pyridine; (d) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{DMF}$; (e) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, EtOAc.

Scheme $\mathbf{2}^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ (a) PhCOCl , anhydrous pyridine; (b) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, EtOAc.
4-benzamido-2-(4-nitrophenyl)triazoloquinoxalin-1-one derivative 7 was instead performed from the corresponding 4 -amino compound 24 and benzoyl chloride, which were reacted under the same conditions employed to obtain compound 3 . Catalytic reduction ( $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ) of the 6-nitro derivatives $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{9}$ yielded the corresponding 6 -amino compounds $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$. The 2 -aryl-4-diphenylacetamidotriazoloquinoxalin-1-one derivatives 12$\mathbf{1 6}$ were obtained by allowing the 4 -amino derivatives $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{2 4}$, $\mathbf{2 5}, \mathbf{D}$, and $\mathbf{E}$ to react with diphenylacetyl chloride in boiling anhydrous pyridine, as described above for compound 3. The 6-nitro derivatives 15 and 16 were transformed into the corresponding 6 -amino derivatives $\mathbf{1 7}$ and $\mathbf{1 8}$ by catalytic hydrogenation ( $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ) in a Parr apparatus. Finally, the 4-dibenzamido-2-aryltriazoloquinoxalin-1-ones 19-22 (Scheme 2) were synthesized after treatment of the 4 -amino derivatives $\mathbf{2 5}$ and $\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{E}$ with an excess of benzoyl chloride in refluxing anhydrous pyridine. The 6-nitro derivative 21 was catalytically reduced to the corresponding 6 -amino compound 23.

Table 1. Binding Activity at Human $A_{1}, A_{2 A}, A_{3}$, and Bovine $A_{1}$ and $A_{2 A}$ ARs


|  | $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{6}$ | $K_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{a}(\mathrm{nM})$ or $I(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{hA}_{3}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{hA}_{1}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}{ }^{d}$ | $\mathrm{bA}_{1}{ }^{e}$ | $\mathrm{bA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}{ }^{f}$ |
| $\mathbf{A}^{g}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | H | $2.0 \pm 0.11$ | $2000 \pm 140$ | 22\% | $4.3 \pm 0.38$ | 70\% |
| 1 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | OMe | H | $35.7 \pm 2.40$ | 34\% | 6\% | $245 \pm 23.1$ | 0\% |
| 2 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 18\% |  |  | $6 \pm 0.55$ | 36\% |
| 3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | OMe | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 36\% |  |  | 0\% | 7\% |
| 4 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $48 \pm 2.10$ | 32\% | $367 \pm 24$ | $1 \pm 0.09$ | $6250 \pm 410$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | OMe | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $5.5 \pm 0.23$ | $2700 \pm 150$ | $1100 \pm 10$ | $363 \pm 24$ | 20\% |
| B ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | Ph | H | H | $1.47 \pm 0.11$ | $87.8 \pm 6.30$ | $88.2 \pm 5.80$ | $89.6 \pm 7.20$ | 53\% |
| 6 | Ph | OMe | H | $2.9 \pm 0.30$ | 37\% | $3585 \pm 224$ | $1010 \pm 112$ | 23\% |
| 7 | Ph | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | H | $100 \pm 9.60$ |  |  | 55\% | 26\% |
| 8 | Ph | H | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $22 \pm 2.60$ | 15\% | 25\% | 32\% | 0\% |
| 9 | Ph | OMe | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $217 \pm 20.40$ |  |  | 35\% | 15\% |
| 10 | Ph | H | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $22 \pm 1.70$ | $98 \pm 7.40$ | $4850 \pm 330$ | $42 \pm 3.1$ | 27.8\% |
| 11 | Ph | OMe | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $1 \pm 0.30$ | 45\% | 24\% | $393 \pm 27$ | 16\% |
| 12 | $\mathrm{CHPh}_{2}$ | OMe | H | $44 \pm 3.10$ | 25\% | 27\% | $7.2 \pm 0.41$ | 28.5\% |
| 13 | $\mathrm{CHPh}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | H | 13\% |  |  | 30\% | 0\% |
| 14 | $\mathrm{CHPh}_{2}$ | H | H | $0.81 \pm 0.03$ | $18.8 \pm 1.20$ | 58\% | $10.2 \pm 1.60$ | $1160 \pm 97.40$ |
| 15 | $\mathrm{CHPh}_{2}$ | H | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $14.9 \pm 1.10$ | 12\% | 49\% | $3.9 \pm 20.2$ | 29.5\% |
| 16 | $\mathrm{CHPh}_{2}$ | OMe | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $0.8 \pm 0.04$ | 11\% | 2\% | $260 \pm 11$ | 0\% |
| 17 | $\mathrm{CHPh}_{2}$ | H | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $8.65 \pm 0.61$ | 2.5\% | $627 \pm 34$ | $1.6 \pm 0.05$ | 12\% |
| 18 | $\mathrm{CHPh}_{2}$ | OMe | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $2.58 \pm 0.15$ | 0\% | 31\% | $77.5 \pm 5.20$ | 0\% |
| 19 |  | H | H | $5.2 \pm 0.31$ | 1\% | 43\% | $30 \pm 2.40$ | 19\% |
| 20 |  | OMe | H | $3.29 \pm 0.15$ | 2\% | 26\% | $174.5 \pm 11.40$ | $6570 \pm 460$ |
| 21 |  | H | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 27\% |  |  | 39\% | 0\% |
| 22 |  | OMe | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $343 \pm 21.0$ |  |  | 20\% | 0\% |
| 23 |  | H | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $1243 \pm 115$ |  |  | $79 \pm 5.10$ | 36\% |
| theophylline |  |  |  | $86000 \pm 7800$ | $6200 \pm 530$ | $7900 \pm 630$ | $3800 \pm 340$ | $21000 \pm 1800$ |
| DPCPX |  |  |  | $1300 \pm 125$ | $3.2 \pm 0.2$ | $260 \pm 18$ | $0.5 \pm 0.03$ | $337 \pm 28$ |

${ }^{a}$ The $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values are the mean $\pm$ SEM of four separate assays, each performed in triplicate. ${ }^{b}$ Displacement of specific [ ${ }^{125}$ I]AB-MECA binding at human $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptors expressed in CHO cells or percentage of inhibition (I) of specific binding at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. ${ }^{c}$ Displacement of specific [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{DPCPX}$ binding at $\mathrm{h} \mathrm{A}_{1}$ receptors expressed in CHO cells or percentage of inhibition (I) of specific binding at $10 \mu \mathrm{M} .{ }^{d}$ Displacement of specific [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{NECA}$ binding at $\mathrm{hA}{ }_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptors expressed in CHO cells or percentage of inhibition ( $I$ ) of specific binding at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. ${ }^{e}$ Displacement of specific [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]DPCPX binding in bovine brain membranes or percentage of inhibition ( $I$ ) of specific binding at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. ${ }^{f}$ Displacement of specific $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CGS} 21680$ binding from bovine striatal membranes or percentage of inhibition ( $I$ ) of specific binding at $10 \mu \mathrm{M} .{ }^{g} \mathrm{bA}_{1}, \mathrm{bA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{hA}_{3}$ binding data were reported in ref 17 .

## Biochemistry

Compounds $\mathbf{1 - 2 3}$ were tested for their ability to displace $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] 1$,3-dipropyl-8-cyclopentylxanthine ( $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{DPCPX}$ ) from $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ AR in bovine cerebral cortical membranes, $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] 2-[4-(2$-car-boxyethyl)phenethyl]amino-5'-( $N$-ethylcarbamoyl)adenosine ( $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CGS 21680) from $A_{2 A} A R$ in bovine striatal membranes, and [ $\left.{ }^{125} I\right] N^{6}$-(4-amino-3-iodobenzyl)-5'-( $N$-methylcarbamoyl)adenosine ( $\left.\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{MECA}\right)$ from cloned $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ receptor stably expressed in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells. Subsequently, we selected compounds $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4 - 6}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1 0}-\mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 4 - 2 0}$, which showed high $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ AR affinity ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}<50 \mathrm{nM}$ ), and the previously reported $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ and tested them for their ability to displace $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ DPCPX from cloned $\mathrm{hA}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ in order to establish their $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ vs $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ selectivity within the same species. Finally, compounds A, B, $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}-\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1 0}-\mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 4 - 2 0}$, most of which were highly $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ vs $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ selective, were also tested for their ability to displace $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ -$5^{\prime}$-( $N$-ethylcarboxamido)adenosine ( $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{NECA}$ ) from cloned $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ARs. The binding results of $\mathbf{1 - 2 3}$, together with those of compounds $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ for comparison, are shown in Table 1. The binding data of theophylline and 1,3-dipropyl-8-cyclopentylxanthine (DPCPX), included as antagonist reference compounds, are also reported.

Compounds 11, 12, 19, and 20, which showed high $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ and null $h A_{1}$ and $h A_{2 A} A R$ affinities, were also tested at $h A_{2 B} A R$.

Table 2. Potency of Compounds 11, 12, 19, and $\mathbf{2 0}$ versus $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ Adenosine Receptor Subtypes

|  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}{ }^{a}(\mathrm{nM})$ of cAMP assays |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | for $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{CHO}$ cells | for $\mathrm{hA}{ }_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ cells |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $>1000(17 \%)$ | $8.6 \pm 0.9$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $>1000(37 \%)$ | $215 \pm 20$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $>1000(15 \%)$ | $28.3 \pm 2.7$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $>1000(13 \%)$ | $31.8 \pm 2.1$ |

${ }^{a}$ The data are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SEM of four independent experiments performed in triplicate. In parentheses are indicated the percentages of inhibition at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound of the cAMP levels, stimulated by the presence of 200 nM NECA for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ adenosine receptors or inhibited by $100 \mathrm{nM} \mathrm{Cl}-$ IB-MECA for $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ adenosine receptors.

In particular, their inhibitory effects on NECA-stimulated cAMP levels in $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{CHO}$ cells were measured. The same functional assay was performed on $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ cells in order to assess the antagonistic potencies of $\mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 9}$, and $\mathbf{2 0}$ at the $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ receptor subtype. The antagonism of these derivatives on 2 -chloro- $\mathrm{N}^{6}$ -(3-iodobenzyl)-5'-( $N$-methylcarbamoyl)adenosine (Cl-IB-MECA) inhibited cAMP production was determined. The results of these functional studies are reported in Table 2 and Figure 1.

## Results and Discussion

The binding results showed that the structural modifications carried out on the 4-amino-2-phenyl-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]qui-


Figure 1. Inhibition curves of cAMP accumulation to human $A_{3}$ adenosine receptors expressed in CHO cells by examined antagonists.
noxalin-1-one framework have produced positive effects (Table 1). In fact, the novel derivatives $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{- 2 3}$ possess, on the whole, nanomolar $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinities and high selectivity versus this AR subtype. We have also obtained some potent ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}<50 \mathrm{nM}$ ) $\mathrm{bA}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists (compounds 2, 4, 10, 12, 14, 15, 17, 19), while, as expected, the new derivatives are generally inactive at the $\mathrm{bA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$.

It should first be pointed out that the newly investigated 4-diphenylacetylamino and 4-dibenzoylamino moieties (derivatives 14 and 19 , respectively) elicited a profitable effect in terms of $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity, similar to that previously exerted by the 4-acetylamino and 4-benzoylamino groups (derivatives $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$, respectively). Indeed, both compounds 14 and 19 bind to the $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ receptor with nanomolar affinities $\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=0.8\right.$ and 5.2 nM , respectively). The 4-dibenzoylamino compound 19 also displayed high selectivity, being completely inactive at both $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ and $h \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$. In contrast, the 4-diphenylacetylamino derivative 14 is only highly $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ vs $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ selective, since it maintains nanomolar affinity at the $\mathrm{hA}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$.

Interesting results were also obtained when suitable substituents were introduced at the $\mathrm{R}_{1}(\mathrm{OMe})$ and/or $\mathrm{R}_{6}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ positions of the parent compounds $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{1 4}$, and 19. The 4-benzoylamino derivatives $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{8 - 1 1}$, the 4-diphenylacetylamino compounds $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 8}$, and the 4-dibenzoylaminosubstituted derivatives 20 and 22 possess, on the whole, better $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ AR affinities than the corresponding 4-acetylamino derivatives $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ probably because of the stronger lipophilic interactions that the benzoyl, diphenylacetyl, or dibenzoyl residues, with respect to the acetyl group, can engage in with the receptor site. Moreover, the greater steric hindrance of compounds 6-20 at the 4 -position level suggests the existence of a roomy receptor pocket that can hold the 4-acylamino moiety of these derivatives.

Insertion of the $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OMe}$ on the 2-phenyl ring of all the 4-acylaminotriazoloquinoxalin-1-one derivatives $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{1 4}, \mathbf{1 9})$ left the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity unchanged (compounds 6 and 20) or slightly reduced (derivatives $\mathbf{1}$ and 12) while, more importantly, it significantly increased $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ vs $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ selectivity. Interestingly, all the 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-substituted derivatives were poorly active $(\mathbf{6})$ or totally inactive $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{2 0})$ at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptor subtype. A dramatic reduction of $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ affinity was observed when the nitro substituent was placed at the $\mathrm{R}_{1}$-position. Indeed, the 4-benzoylamino compound 7 and 4-diphenylacetylamino derivative $\mathbf{1 3}$ are significantly less active than the corresponding derivatives $\mathbf{B}$ and 14, lacking the nitro group. In particular, compound 13 showed null affinity at all the investigated receptors. However, the negative effect of $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ is consistent with our previous data. ${ }^{21}$

The presence of the 6-nitro substituent did not always produce advantageous effects. This modification was profitable on $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$, since it maintained high $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ affinity and significantly
increased selectivity (compounds $\mathbf{8}$ and 15); yet when performed on $\mathbf{A}$ and 19, it dramatically dropped $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinities (compounds 2 and 21). Instead, introduction of the 6 -amino group turned out to be positive because, on the whole, it afforded active compounds that possess nanomolar $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ affinities $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1 0}$, and 17) and also ( 4 and 10) high $h_{3}$ vs $h_{1}$ selectivity. The contemporary presence of $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OMe}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ generally decreased $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity (compounds $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{9}$, and $\mathbf{2 2}$ ) with the only exception of the 2-diphenylacetamido derivative $\mathbf{1 6}$, which is highly potent $\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=0.8 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and selective at the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$. Interestingly, the double substitution with $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OMe}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{6}=$ $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$, present in compounds $5,11,18$, preserved the high $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ affinities $\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=1-5.5 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ of the corresponding unsubstituted derivatives $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$, and $\mathbf{1 4}$ and also afforded high $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ selectivity.

Compounds 11, 12, 19, and 20, which showed high $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ and null $h A_{1}$ and $h A_{2 A} A R$ affinities, were also tested at the $A_{2 B}$ AR by evaluating their inhibitory effects on cAMP accumulation in CHO cells stably expressing the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR (Table 2). These derivatives resulted in being inactive in this assay, thus indicating a complete lack of affinity toward the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 B} A R$. In contrast, 11, 12, 19, and 20 were highly potent in the same assay performed on $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ cells. The potencies of these derivatives in antagonizing the Cl-IB-MECA-inhibited cAMP production are in accordance with their $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity values (Table 2 and Figure 1).

The $\mathrm{bA}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ and $\mathrm{bA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ binding data (Table 1) warrant some comments. As expected, compounds 1-23 are inactive at the $\mathrm{bA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptor subtype, with the exception of three derivatives $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1 4}$, and 20$)$, which possess $\mathrm{bA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ micromolar affinities. In contrast, only seven compounds $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{7 - 9}, \mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{2 1}$, and 22) are inactive at the $\mathrm{bA}_{1}$ receptor while all the others show $\mathrm{bA}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ affinities that span the nanomolar range.

A comparison of the $\mathrm{bA}_{1}$ affinity values with the corresponding $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ AR ones confirms that species differences exist not only for the $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor but also for the $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ subtype. ${ }^{24-26}$ Indeed, previously reported data ${ }^{21}$ and herein-reported data indicate that in this class of derivatives the $\mathrm{hA}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ affinities are, overall, significantly lower than the $\mathrm{bA}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ affinities. Only compounds $\mathbf{B}, 10$, and $\mathbf{1 4}$ show a similar nanomolar affinity at both receptor types. Structural differences also exist between the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptors of human and bovine species. In fact, some of the tested derivatives $(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{4}-\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 4}, \mathbf{1 7}, \mathbf{2 0})$ showed quite different affinities at the two receptors, being in general more active at the $\mathrm{h}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptor $(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{4}-\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 7})$.

The $\mathrm{bA}_{1}$ binding data, in accordance with our previous finding, ${ }^{17,21}$ point out the capability of the 4-methoxy group on the 2-phenyl ring to significantly decrease affinity. Indeed, derivatives $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{6}$, and 20, bearing this substituent, are less active at the $\mathrm{bA}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ than the corresponding 2-phenyl derivatives $\mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{B}$, and 19, and only the 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-diphenylacetylamino derivative $\mathbf{1 2}$ is equiactive to the des-methoxy compound 14. Different from the para methoxy substituent, the 6-nitro group does not exert a constant effect on $\mathrm{bA}_{1}$ affinity. Indeed, the 4-benzoylamino- and the 4-dibenzoylamino-substituted derivatives $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{2 1}$ are less active than the corresponding 6-desnitro derivatives $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{1 9}$ while the 4 -acetylamino derivative 2 and the 4-diphenylacetylamino compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ show nanomolar affinities comparable to those of their analogues $\mathbf{A}$ and 14. The unpredictable effect of the 6-nitro group is consistent with our previous data obtained on this class of derivatives. ${ }^{21}$ Introduction of the 6 -amino residue (compounds $\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 7}, 23$ ) left the $\mathrm{bA}_{1}$ affinity almost unchanged, with the exception of compound 17 which is 10 -fold more active than the corresponding 6-des-amino


Figure 2. Human $A_{3}$ receptor model viewed from the membrane side (A) and from the extracellular side (B) showing the E2 loop folded into the binding crevice. Putative binding sites suggested by site-directed mutagenesis studies are delimited by the docked derivative $\mathbf{A}$.
derivative 14. Interestingly, the para methoxy group maintains its capability of decreasing the $\mathrm{bA}_{1}$ AR affinity even when the 6 -nitro (compounds 3, 9, 16, 22) or the 6 -amino group (compounds $5,11,18$ ) is present on the triazoloquinoxaline nucleus. In fact, these 2-(4-methoxyphenyl) derivatives are, on the whole, significantly less active than the corresponding 2-phenyl substituted analogues.

Following our recently reported modeling investigations, we used our improved model of the $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ receptor, obtained by a rhodopsin-based homology modeling approach, ${ }^{21-23,27-31}$ to recognize the hypothetical binding motif of these newly synthesized 4-amino-2-phenyl-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin1 -one antagonists. From analysis of docking simulation results, all triazoloquinoxalinone derivatives share a similar binding motif inside the transmembrane (TM) region of the $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ receptor, as previously described. ${ }^{21,27-31}$ As shown in Figure 2, we identified the hypothetical binding site of the triazoloquinoxalinone moiety surrounded by TMs $3,5,6$, and 7 with the carbonyl group at 1-position pointing toward the EL2 and with the amide moiety in the 4 -position oriented toward the intracellular environment. The phenyl ring at the 2-position is close to TMs 3, 6, and 7, whereas $\mathrm{R}_{6}$ substituents are close to TM5. For a clear explanation of the observed structure-activity relationships, it is useful to immediately emphasize that the relative positions of the $\mathrm{R}_{6}$ substituents are slightly different depending on the bulkiness of the $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ substituent on the 4 -amide moiety, as shown in Figure 3. However, the overall pharmacophore features are nicely consistent with our recently proposed receptor-based pharmacophore model. ${ }^{29-31}$ From analysis of our model in detail, all triazoloquinoxalinone derivatives share at least two stabilizing hydrogen-bonding interactions inside the binding cleft. The first hydrogen bonding is between the carbonyl group at the 1-position, pointing toward the EL2, and the NH of the Gln167-Phe168 amidic bond. This hydrogenbonding distance is calculated to be around $2.8 \AA$ for all docked compounds. Moreover, the 1-carbonyl group is also at the hydrogen-bonding distance with the amide moiety of Asn250 (TM6) side chain. This asparagine residue, conserved among all adenosine receptor subtypes, was found to be important for ligand binding. Second, the NH-CO moiety at the 4-position is surrounded by three polar amino acids: Thr94 (TM3), His95 (TM3), and Ser247 (TM6). This region seems to be very critical for the recognition of all antagonist structures. In fact, a major structural difference between the hypothetical binding sites in


Figure 3. Hypothetical binding motif of the representative newly synthesized 4-amino-2-phenyl-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one antagonists (derivative $\mathbf{A}$ in green, derivative $\mathbf{B}$ in blue, derivative $\mathbf{1 2}$ in magenta, and derivative 19 in yellow). All docked antagonists are viewed from the membrane side facing TM helices 5 and 6 . To clarify the TM cavity, the view of TM6 from Pro245 to Phe 255 has been voluntarily omitted. Side chains of some amino acids important for ligand recognition are highlighted. Hydrogen atoms are not displayed. Moreover, the receptor region around the $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ substituents characterized by five nonpolar amino acids, Ile98 (TM3), Ile186 (TM5), Leu190 (TM5), Phe239 (TM6), and Leu244 (TM6), has been represented by its Connolly's molecular surface.
these receptor subtypes is that the $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor does not contain the histidine residue in TM6 common to all $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ (His251 in hA $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ ) and $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ (His250 in $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ) receptors. This histidine has been shown to participate in both agonist and antagonist binding to $A_{2 A}$ receptors. In the $A_{3}$ receptor this histidine in TM6 is replaced by a serine residue (Ser247 in $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{32}$ The stabilizing interactions among the 4-carbamoyl moiety and these polar amino acids orient the adjacent $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ substituent (methyl, $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{1 - 5}$; phenyl, $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{6 - 1 1}$; diphenylmethyl, 12-18) in the middle of the TM bundle. In particular, the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ of Ser 247 (TM6) and the carbonyl oxygen of the amide group are separated by $2.4 \AA$ and appropriately oriented to form a H-bonding interaction. Moreover, the side chain of His95 (TM3) is within dipole-dipole interaction distance of NH of the amide group, at around $2.9 \AA$. According to recently published mutagenesis results, both His95 and Ser247 seem to affect the binding of both agonists and antagonists. ${ }^{32}$ Indeed, the receptor region around $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ substituents is mostly hydrophobic and characterized by five nonpolar amino acids: Ile98 (TM3), Ile186 (TM5), Leu190 (TM5), Phe239 (TM6), and Leu244 (TM6) (Figure 2).

Considering the observed structure-activity relationships in greater detail, methoxy substitution at the $\mathrm{R}_{1}$-position is rather well tolerated among all newly synthesized triazoloquinoxalinone derivatives. This is consistent with its accommodation into a tiny hydrophobic pocket delimited by Leu90 (TM3) and Ile268 (TM7). Interestingly, the amino acid corresponding to Leu90 in the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptor was found to be essential for the binding of both agonists and antagonists, and it is mutated in valine (Val87) in the human $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ receptor. This mutation might play a role in the explanation of $h A_{3}$ versus $h A_{1}$ selectivity. In fact, even if the mutation Leu90 $\left(\mathrm{hA}_{3}\right) / \mathrm{Val} 87\left(\mathrm{hA}_{1}\right)$ can slightly enlarge the dimension of this hydrophobic cavity, at the same time it also notably decreases the shape and hydrophobic interaction complementarity (data not shown). Also, the mutation of Ser165 (EL2 of $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ ) with Lys168 in the $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ receptor could affect the recognition of the methoxy-substituted triazoloquinoxalinone derivatives. Considering the same small pocket
surrounded by Leu90 (TM3) and Ile268 (TM7), unfavorable steric and dipolar interactions are responsible for the reduction of affinity observed for derivatives 7 and 13, whereas the methoxy substituent at $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is replaced by the nitro group.

On the other hand, the presence of the 6 -nitro substituent does not always produce advantageous effects in terms of $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ AR binding affinity. This phenomenon is particularly evident when derivatives $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{1 5}$ are compared with their unsubstituted compounds $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$. As already anticipated and clearly shown in Figure 3, the relative positions of $\mathrm{R}_{6}$ substituents are slightly different depending on the bulkiness of the $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ substituent on the carbamoyl moiety at the 4 -position. In particular, in the presence of a less bulky $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ substituent such as a methyl group (derivative $\mathbf{A}$ ), the triazoloquinoxalinone moiety binds more deeply in the middle of the TM bundle, positioning the 6-nitro substituent very close to TM5. In this case, unfavorable steric and dipolar interactions are responsible for the remarkable reduction of affinity observed for derivatives 2 and 3. In contrast, the smaller 6 -amino substituent (derivatives $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ ) is still well tolerated because of the favorable dipolar interaction with the carbonyl moiety of the Ser181-Phe182 amidic bond. When the bulkiness of the $R_{4}$ substituent is increased, the position of the $\mathrm{R}_{6}$ group shifts away from TM5, and consequently, more empty space is available for the 6-nitro substituent, such as in derivatives $\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1 5}$, and 16 .

Considering the 4 -dibenzoyl derivatives $\mathbf{1 9 - 2 3}$, the simultaneous presence of two bulky substituents at the 4-position forces a slight rearrangement of the triazoloquinoxalinone moiety inside the TM binding cavity (Figure 3). Curiously, while the position of the methoxy substitution at the $\mathrm{R}_{1}$-position is relatively well conserved compared with all other triazoloquinoxalinone derivatives, the $\mathrm{R}_{6}$ substituents are much closer to the $\mathrm{R}_{6}$ position of derivative $\mathbf{2}$ and consequently much closer to the TM5 domain. As already described for compound 2, in this case the unfavorable steric and dipolar interactions are probably responsible for the remarkable reduction of affinity of derivatives 21 and 22. To explain the different behavior of derivatives $21\left(\mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{NO}_{2} ; I=27 \%\right.$ at $\left.1 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ and $23\left(\mathrm{R}_{6}=\right.$ $\mathrm{NH}_{2} ; K_{\mathrm{i}} \cong 1200 \mathrm{nM}$ ), we can apply the same argument already used for the comparison of derivatives 2 and 4 .

## Conclusion

The present study has led to the identification of new potent and selective $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists. As expected, most of the substitution patterns of the 1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1one nucleus were effective in shifting affinity toward the $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ receptor. Most importantly, an integrated SAR and molecular docking study provided new interesting insights about the fine steric and electrostatic control that is involved in the anchoring of this class of compounds to the $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ receptor binding site.

The rationalization of all experimental SAR findings, using our recently proposed rhodopsin-based homology model of the human $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor, is further evidence supporting our belief that the reciprocal integration of different theoretical and experimental disciplines can be very useful for the successful design of new, potent, and selective GPCR ligands.

## Experimental Section

(A) Chemistry. Silica gel plates (Merck F254) and silica gel 60 (Merck, 70-230 mesh) were used for analytical and column chromatography, respectively. All melting points were determined on a Gallenkamp melting point apparatus. Microanalyses were performed with a Perkin-Elmer 260 elemental analyzer for C, H, N , and the results were within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of the theoretical values, unless otherwise stated. The IR spectra were recorded with a Perkin-

Elmer Spectrum RX I spectrometer in Nujol mulls and are expressed in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were obtained with a Brucker Avance 400 MHz instrument. The chemical shifts are reported in $\delta$ (ppm) and are relative to the central peak of the solvent, which was always DMSO- $d_{6}$. The following abbreviations are used: $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ double doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{br}=$ broad, and ar $=$ aromatic protons.

Synthesis of 4-Acetamido-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,2-dihydro-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one (1). A solution of acetyl chloride ( 2.1 mmol ) in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 5 mL ) was added to a suspension of 4-amino-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one $\mathbf{C}^{17}(0.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 20 mL ) and anhydrous pyridine ( $9.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.7$ mL ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h . Evaporation of the solvent at reduced pressure gave a residue that was treated with water/ethanol, collected by filtration, and washed with water. Yield, $92 \%$; mp $276-278{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMF/EtOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $2.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=9.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.42-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $8.72(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, NH). IR 1690, 1730, 3200, 3220. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Synthesis of 4-Acetamido-1,2-dihydro-6-nitro-2-phenyl-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one (2). A mixture of 4-amino-6-nitro-2-phenyl-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one $\mathbf{D}^{18}(0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, acetic anhydride ( $1.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.17 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine $(0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{mg})$, and triethylamine ( $9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in anhydrous dimethylformamide ( 5 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 3 h . The solid was collected by filtration and washed with water and ethanol. Yield, $78 \%$; $\mathrm{mp}>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $2.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.51-7.75$ (m, 3H, ar), 7.92 (d, 1H, ar, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.10 (d, 2H, ar, $J=7.7$ Hz ), $8.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$. IR 1650 , 1730, 3300, 3360. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Synthesis of 4-Acetamido-1,2-dihydro-6-nitro-2-(4-methoxy-phenyl)-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one (3). Acetyl chloride ( 3.39 mmol ) was added to a suspension of 4 -amino-2-(4-methox-yphenyl)-6-nitro-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one $\quad \mathbf{E}^{21}$ (1.13 mmol ) in anhydrous pyridine ( 5 mL ). The mixture was refluxed for 12 h and then diluted with water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solid was collected by filtration and washed with water. Yield, $89 \%$; $\mathrm{mp} 292-$ $294{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMF). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 2.41 (s, 3H, CH3 3 ), 3.83 (s, 3H, OMe), $7.15(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $7.70(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.93-8.00(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, ar), 8.88 (d, 1H, H-9, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 10.82 (s, 1H, NH). IR 1692, 1714, 3200. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 4-Acetamido-6-amino-2-aryl-1,2-dihydro-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-ones (4 and 5). The 6 -nitro derivative $\mathbf{2}$ or $\mathbf{3}(1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in hot DMF ( 50 mL for 2 and 15 mL for 3), and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ was added to the solution. The mixture was hydrogenated in a Parr apparatus at 40 psi for 12 h . The catalyst was filtered off, and the clear solution was diluted with water ( 50 mL ). The solid that precipitated was collected by suction and washed with water.

4: yield, $75 \%$; mp 281-282 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMF). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 2.35 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.24(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.37(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 10.41 (s, 1H, NH). IR 1679, 1701, 1714, 3186, 3234, 3359, 3478. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

5: yield, $55 \%$; mp $247-249{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (2-methoxyethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 2.34 (s, 3H, COMe), 3.82 (s, 3H, OMe), 5.74 (s, 2H, NH2), 6.77 (d, 1 H , ar, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.87(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=$ 9.0 Hz ), 10.41 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). IR 1683, 1705, 3180, 3240, 3357. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 4-Benzamido-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,2-dihydro-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1one (6), 4-Benzamido-6-nitro-2-phenyl-1,2-dihydro-1,2,4-triazolo-[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one (8), and 4-Benzamido-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-6-nitro-1,2-dihydro-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one (9). Benzoyl chloride ( 2.1 mmol ) was added to a suspension of derivative $\mathbf{C},{ }^{17} \mathbf{D},{ }^{18}$ or $\mathbf{E}^{21}(0.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous dichloromethane
$(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and anhydrous pyridine $(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was refluxed until the disappearance (TLC monitoring) of the starting material (6-20 h). Evaporation of the solvent at reduced pressure gave a residue, which was treated with water ( 5 mL ) and a few drops of ethanol, collected by filtration, and washed with water.

6: yield, $40 \%$; mp $203-205{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $3.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.53-7.65$ (m, 5H, ar), $7.78-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $8.07(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 11.35(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$. IR 1690 , 1720, 3240. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

8: yield, $80 \%$; mp $290-292{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMF). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 7.38 (d, 1H, ar, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.44-8.20(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $8.26-8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $8.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 11.43$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

9: yield, $68 \%$; mp $278-280{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMF). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 3.80 (s, 3H, OMe), $7.11(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.48-8.40(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 8.90$ (d, 1H, H-9, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 11.40 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Synthesis of 4-Benzamido-1,2-dihydro-2-(4-nitrophenyl)-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one (7). A mixture of 4-amino-2-(4-nitrophenyl)-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a] quinoxalin-1-one derivative $\mathbf{2 4}^{21}$ ( 0.46 mmol ) and benzoyl chloride ( 0.92 mmol ) in anhydrous pyridine ( 10 mL ) was refluxed for 48 h . After cooling at room temperature, the suspension was diluted with water ( 4 mL ) and the solid was collected by filtration and washed with water. Yield, $85 \% ; \mathrm{mp}>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{DMF}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.01-8.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $8.32(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=9.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 8.43(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.73(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 11.28 (br s, 1H, NH). IR 1360, 1553, 1672, 1726, 3115, 3211. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 6-Amino-4-benza-mido-1,2-dihydro-2-phenyl-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1one (10) and 6-Amino-4-benzamido-1,2-dihydro-2-(4-methoxy-phenyl)-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one (11). The $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ $(0.03 \mathrm{~g})$ was added to a solution of the 6-nitro derivative $\mathbf{8}$ or 9 ( 0.7 mmol ) in dimethylformamide $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was hydrogenated in a Parr apparatus at 30 psi for 3 h , the catalyst was filtered off, and the clear solution was diluted with water. The solid that precipitated was collected by filtration and washed with water and ethanol.

10: yield, $85 \%$; mp $235-237{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (2-methoxyethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $5.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26-7.66(\mathrm{~m}$, 7 H , ar), $7.89-8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 11.02$ (br s, 1H, NH). IR 1668, 1727, 3312, 3359, 3456. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

11: yield, $90 \%$; mp $264-266^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMF). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 3.77 (s, 3 H , $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.51-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $7.80-8.04(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 10.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$. IR 1681, 1720, 3290, 3352, 3445. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 2-Aryl-1,2-dihydro-4-diphenylacetamido-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-ones (1214) and 2-Aryl-1,2-dihydro-6-nitro-4-diphenylacetamido-1,2,4triazolo $[4,3-a]$ quinoxalin-1-ones (15 and 16). The 4-amino-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one derivatives $\mathbf{C},{ }^{17} \mathbf{2 4},{ }^{21} \mathbf{2 5},{ }^{17} \mathbf{D},{ }^{18}$ and $\mathbf{E}^{21}(0.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ were reacted with diphenylacetyl chloride $(0.92$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in refluxing anhydrous pyridine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ until the disappearance (TLC monitoring) of the starting material (10-12 h). After cooling at room temperature, the mixture was diluted with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solid was collected by filtration and washed with water.

12: yield, $65 \%$; mp $251-252{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMF). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 3.83 (s, 3 H , $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.21-7.80$ $(\mathrm{m}, 13 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.76(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 11.20$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

13: yield, $95 \%$; mp $298-300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMF). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 5.76 (s, 1 H , $\mathrm{CH}), 7.30-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.38-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, ar $), 7.54(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.61(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.69(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 11.16$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$. IR 1681, 1725, 3233. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

14: yield, $55 \%$; mp $226-227{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 5.68 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.24-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $8.05(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.75(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 11.25$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

15: yield, $50 \% ; \mathrm{mp}>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (2-methoxyethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 6.00 (s, 1H, CH), $7.21-7.98(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $7.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.08(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.89(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 11.30$ (br s, 1H, NH). IR 1374, 1555, 1691, 1729, 3183, 3233. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, N.

16: yield, $98 \%$; mp 234-236 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMF). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 3.83 (s, 3H, OMe), $5.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26-7.30$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $7.31-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $7.72(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.92-7.95 (m, 3H, ar), 8.87 (d, 1H, H-9), 11.23 (s, 1H, NH). IR 1689, 1719, 3226. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Synthesis of 6-Amino-1,2-dihydro-2-phenyl-4-diphenylaceta-mido-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one (17). The title compound was obtained by catalytic hydrogenation of derivative $\mathbf{1 5}$ $(1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, dissolved in hot DMF $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, following the procedure described above to prepare compounds 4 and 5. Yield, $72 \%$; mp $253-254{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (2-methoxyethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 5.65 (s, 1H, CH), 5.76 $\left(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.24-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, ar), 7.35-7.44 (m, 9H, ar), $7.58(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-9, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.98($ br s, 1 H , NH). IR 1693, 1708, 3257, 3364, 3473. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H , N .

Synthesis of 6-Amino-1,2-dihydro-2-(methoxyphenyl)-4-diphe-nylacetamido-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one (18). The 6-nitro derivative $\mathbf{1 6}(1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in boiling ethyl acetate $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(0.06 \mathrm{~g})$ was added to the solution. The mixture was hydrogenated in a Parr apparatus at 30 psi for 12 h . The catalyst was filtered off, and the solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure. The solid residue was taken up with diethyl ether $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and collected by filtration. Yield, $65 \%$; mp $230-232{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}), 5.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.74(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.23-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $7.35-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, ar $), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$. IR 1693, 1708, 3257, 3364, 3473. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 2-Aryl-4-dibenza-mido-1,2-dihydro-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a] quinoxalin-1-ones (19 and 20) and 2-Aryl-4-dibenzamido-1,2-dihydro-6-nitro-1,2,4-tria-zolo[4,3-a] quinoxalin-1-ones (21 and 22). A mixture of $\mathbf{2 5}{ }^{17}$ or $\mathbf{C}^{17}$ or $\mathbf{D}^{18}$ or $\mathbf{E}^{21}(1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzoyl chloride (12, 22.8, 7.2, or 36 mmol , respectively) in anhydrous pyridine ( 8 mL ) was refluxed until the disappearance (TLC monitoring) of the starting material ( $18-20 \mathrm{~h}$ ). After cooling at room temperature, the mixture was diluted with water $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solid was collected by filtration and washed with water and diethyl ether.

19: yield, $74 \%$; mp $236-238{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (2-methoxyethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $7.36(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.49-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}$, ar), 7.62-7.71 (m, 3 H , ar), $7.73(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.89-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $8.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$. IR 1703, 1730. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ C, H, N.

20: yield, $65 \%$; mp $237-239{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (2-methoxyethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.50-7.53(\mathrm{~m}$, 5 H , ar), $7.62-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $7.71(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.78$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-9, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$. IR 1707, 1729. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

21: yield, $93 \%$; mp $274-275{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (2-methoxyethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $7.37(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.53(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.67(\mathrm{t}$, 2 H , ar, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.85-7.94(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $8.94(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9, J=$ 8.2 Hz). IR 1706, 1727. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

22: yield, $60 \%$; mp $280-281^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (DMF). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 3.79 (s, 3H, OMe), $7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.53(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.67(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.83-$ $7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 8.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$. IR 1687, 1715. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 6-Amino-2-aryl-4-dibenzamido-1,2-dihydro-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1ones (23). Compound 23 was prepared by catalytic hydrogenation of the corresponding 6-nitro derivative $21(1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in
boiling ethyl acetate ( 200 mL ). The experimental procedure was the same as that described above to obtain derivative 18. Crude derivative 23, before recrystallization, was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, eluting system cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 5.5/4.5). Yield, $78 \% ; \mathrm{mp}>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 5.83 (s, 2H, $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ar}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.62(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}$, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$. IR 1700, 1723, 3374. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.
(B) Biochemistry. Bovine $A_{1}$ and $A_{2 A}$ Receptor Binding. Displacement of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CHA}$ from $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ ARs in bovine cerebral cortical membranes and $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CGS 21680 from $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ARs in bovine striatal membranes was performed as described in ref 33.

Human $\mathbf{A}_{1}, \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2}}$, and $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{3}}$ Receptor Binding. Binding experiments at $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ ARs, stably expressed in CHO cells, were performed as previously described, ${ }^{18}$ using $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CHA}$ and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{AB}-$ MECA, respectively, as radioligands. Displacement of [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ NECA from $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ARs, stably expressed in CHO cells, was performed as reported in ref 25 .

Measurement of Cyclic AMP Levels on CHO Cells Transfected with Human $\mathbf{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ or $\mathbf{A}_{3}$ Adenosine Receptors. CHO cells transfected with the human $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ or $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ adenosine receptors were suspended in 0.5 mL of incubation mixture containing 150 mM $\mathrm{NaCl}, 2.7 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 0.37 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} 2_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgSO} 4,1 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ glucose, 5 mM Hepes, and $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$, pH 7.4 , at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then 2.0 IU of adenosine deaminase $/ \mathrm{mL}$ and 0.5 mM Ro 20-1724 as phosphodiesterase inhibitor were added and preincubated for 10 min in a shaking bath at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A stock 10 mM solution of the tested compound was prepared in DMSO, and subsequent dilutions were accomplished in buffer. The effect of the examined compounds at different concentrations ( 0.1 nM to $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in the presence of Cl-IB-MECA ( 100 nM ) for $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptors or of NECA $(200 \mathrm{nM})$ for $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ receptors was evaluated for 10 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was terminated by the addition of cold $6 \%$ trichloroacetic acid (TCA). The TCA suspension was centrifuged at 2000 g for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the supernatant was extracted four times with water-saturated diethyl ether. The final aqueous solution was tested for cAMP levels by a competition protein binding assay carried out according to the method of Varani et al. ${ }^{34}$ Samples of cAMP standards $(0-10 \mathrm{pmol})$ were added to each test tube containing 0.1 M trizma base, 8.0 mM aminophylline, 6.0 mM mercaptoethanol, pH 7.4 , and $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{cAMP}$ in a total volume of 0.5 mL . The binding protein, previously prepared from beef adrenals, was added to the samples and incubated at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 150 min . At the end of the incubation time and after the addition of charcoal, the samples were centrifuged at $2000 g$ for 10 min . The clear supernatant was mixed with 4 mL of Atomlight and counted in a LS-1800 Beckman scintillation counter.

Data Analysis. The concentration of the tested compounds that produced $50 \%$ inhibition of specific $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CHA},\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CGS} 21680$, or $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{MECA}$ binding $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ was calculated using a nonlinear regression method implemented in the InPlot program (Graph-Pad, San Diego, CA) with five concentrations of displacer, each performed in triplicate. Inhibition constants $\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ were calculated according to the Cheng-Prusoff equation. ${ }^{35}$ The dissociation constants $\left(K_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CHA}$ and $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CGS} 21680$ in cortical and striatal bovine brain membranes were 1.2 and 14 nM , respectively. The $K_{\mathrm{d}}$ values of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CHA},\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{NECA}$, and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{MECA}$ in $\mathrm{hA}_{1}, \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$, and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ ARs in CHO cell membranes were $1.9,30$, and 1.4 nM , respectively.
$\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values obtained in cAMP assay were calculated by nonlinear regression analysis using the equation for a sigmoid concentration - response curve (Graph-PAD Prism, San Diego, CA).
(C) Computational Methodologies. All modeling studies were carried out on a 10 CPU (PIV-3.0GHZ and AMD64) Linux cluster running under openMosix architecture. ${ }^{36}$ Homology modeling, energy calculation, and docking studies were performed using the Molecular Operating Environment (MOE, version 2005.06) suite. ${ }^{37}$

All docked structures were fully optimized without geometry constraints using RHF/AM1 semiempirical calculations. Vibrational frequency analysis was used to characterize the minima stationary
points (zero imaginary frequencies). The software package MOPAC (version 7), ${ }^{38}$ implemented in MOE suite, was utilized for all quantum mechanical calculations.

Homology Model of the $\mathbf{h} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{A R}$. On the basis of the assumption that GPCRs share similar TM boundaries and overall topology, a homology model of the $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ receptor was constructed. First, the amino acid sequences of TM helices of the $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor were aligned with those of bovine rhodopsin, guided by the highly conserved amino acid residues, including the DRY motif (D3.49, R3.50, and Y3.51) and three proline residues ( $\mathrm{P} 4.60, \mathrm{P} 6.50$, and P 7.50 ) in the TM segments of GPCRs. The same boundaries were applied to the TM helices of the $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor as they were identified from the X-ray crystal structure for the corresponding sequences of bovine rhodopsin, ${ }^{39}$ the $C_{R}$ coordinates of which were used to construct the seven TM helices for the $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ receptor. The loop domains of the $h A_{3}$ receptor were constructed by the loop search method implemented in MOE. In particular, loops are modeled first in random order. For each loop, a contact energy function analyzes the list of candidates collected in the segment searching stage, taking into account all atoms already modeled and any atoms specified by the user as belonging to the model environment. These energies are then used to make a Boltzmann-weighted choice from the candidates, the coordinates of which are then copied to the model. Any missing side chain atoms are modeled using the same procedure. Side chains belonging to residues whose backbone coordinates were copied from a template are modeled first, followed by side chains of modeled loops. Outgaps and their side chains are modeled last. Special caution has to be given to the second extracellular (E2) loop, which has been described in bovine rhodopsin as folding back over transmembrane helices ${ }^{39}$ and, therefore, limiting the size of the active site. Hence, amino acids of this loop could be involved in direct interactions with the ligands. A driving force for this peculiar fold of the E2 loop might be the presence of a disulfide bridge between cysteines in TM3 and E2. Since this covalent link is conserved in all receptors modeled in the current study, the E2 loop was modeled using a rhodopsin-like constrained geometry around the E2-TM3 disulfide bridge. After the heavy atoms were modeled, all hydrogen atoms were added, and the protein coordinates were then minimized with MOE using the AMBER94 force field. ${ }^{40}$ The minimizations were carried out by 1000 steps of steepest descent followed by conjugate gradient minimization until the rms gradient of the potential energy was less than $0.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \AA^{-1}$. Protein stereochemistry evaluation was performed by several tools (Ramachandran and $\chi$ plots measure $\varphi / \psi$ and $\chi_{1} / \chi_{2}$ angles and clash contacts reports) implemented in the MOE suite. ${ }^{37}$

Molecular Docking of the $\mathbf{h A}_{3}$ AR Antagonists. All antagonist structures were docked into the hypothetical TM binding site by using the MOE-Dock tool, part of the MOE suite. Searching is conducted within a user-specified 3D docking box, using the Tabù Search protocol ${ }^{41}$ and the MMFF94 force field. ${ }^{42}$ MOE-Dock performs a user-specified number of independent docking runs (50 in our specific case) and writes the resulting conformations and their energies in a molecular database file. The resulting docked complexes were subjected to MMFF94 energy minimization until the rms of the conjugate gradient was $<0.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \AA^{-1}$. Charges for the ligands were imported from the MOPAC output files. To better refine all antagonist-receptor complexes, a rotamer exploration of all side chains involved in the antagonist binding was carried out. Rotamer exploration methodology was implemented in the MOE suite. ${ }^{37}$

Prediction of antagonist-receptor complex stability (in terms of the corresponding $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value) and the quantitative analysis for nonbonded intermolecular interactions (H-bonds, transition metal, water bridges, hydrophobic) were performed and visualized using several tools implemented in MOE suite. ${ }^{37}$
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